

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-17, and 20 are pending. Solely in an effort to advance prosecution claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-17 and 20 are amended to encompass potentially infringing subject matter. No new matter has been added. Further, Applicant reserves the right to file continuing applications to cover disclosed subject matter not encompassed by the currently pending claims.

It is believed that the above claim amendments place this application in condition for allowance.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC § 112

The Office Action rejects claims 1-20 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly been undefined. In solely in an effort to advance prosecution, Applicant has amended claims to address the clarity and antecedent basis concerns asserted by the Office Action. In view of the claim amendments, reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

Conclusion

All outstanding issues have been addressed. Taking into consideration the totality of the application as filed (i.e., the specification, claims and drawings), the currently amended application is in compliance with 35 USC § 112.

Sincerely,



Dr. Henryk Malak
Ellicott City, Maryland
Phone: 410-313-8650

08/31/07

Date